PATENT COOPERATION	TREAT
PCT	

REC'D	2 5 NOV 2004	
WIPO	PCT	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

28 FEB 2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference CPW/20693		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No. PCT/GB 03/03751		International filing date (day/month/year) 29.08.2003		h/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.08.2002		
A61	1 K45/	<i>(</i> 06	ent Classification (IPC) or bo				
	LAL	4 D.61	ale management of the second of the second	18 - 28 and a grade of the second second		er e grant ta	er vielett til en en til til som till en have have
1.	 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority						
	(see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
3.	This	repor	t contains indications rela	ating to the following i	tems:		desires to the beautiful and the second of t
	1 U		Basis of the opinion				
	'' 	⋈	Priority Non-establishment of o	pinion with regard to a	novelty, in	∕entive sten ar	nd industrial applicability
	IV		Lack of unity of inventio		, , ,,,	remitte step at	и поизнагарисаршку
	٧	\boxtimes	Reasoned statement un citations and explanatio	nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) w ns supporting such st	rith regard atement	to novelty, inv	entive step or industrial applicability;
	VI		Certain documents cited	i			
	VII		Certain defects in the in				
	VIII ·	Ц.	Certain observations on	the international app	lication		Contraction of the second of the second
				: - '		•	
Date of submission of the demand			Date of co	Date of completion of this report			
22.03.2004			24.11.2004				
Name prelim	and n	nailing examin	address of the international ing authority:		Authorize	d Officer	nuther Palantes
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			Blott, C	ə No. +49 89 23	by transfer		

Best Available Copy

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/03751

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages						
	1-2	6	as originally filed					
	Cla	ims, <u>Numbers</u>	and the second of the state of the second of the second of the second se					
	1-3	3	as originally filed					
2.	Wit lanç	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
	☐ the language of a transl		nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of public	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of a tran Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under).					
3.	Witl inte	h regard to any nucleo rnational preliminary e	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the xamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		contained in the interr	national application in written form.					
,, , ,,	`П "	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequent	ly to this Authority in written form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that the listing has been furnis	e information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence hed.					
4.	The	amendments have res	sulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					
5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).						
	(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed t report.)							
6.	Add	itional observations, if	necessary:					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/03751

III.	1401	1-establishment of opinion wi	ui ieg	ara to nove	ity, miveritivo otop ana maaoan	a. a.p.p	0		
1.	The obv	e questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
		the entire international application,							
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 31-33							
		because:							
	, ⊠ .	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 31-33 (industrial applicability) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
		see separate sheet							
		the description, claims or draw that no meaningful opinion cou	ings <i>(i</i> ıld be f	ngs (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear d be formed (specify):					
		the claims, or said claims Nos. could be formed.	are so	o inadequate	ely supported by the description the	hat no meaningful opini	on		
		no international search report	has been established for the said claims Nos.						
A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Ac Instructions:						ilure of the nucleotide a the Administrative	ınd/		
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.							
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.							
٧.	Rea cita	asoned statement under Artic ations and explanations supp	le 35() orting	2) with rega such state	rd to novelty, inventive step or ment	· industrial applicabilit	ty;		
1.	Sta	tement							
No		velty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2,4-7,9-26,28,32 1,3,8,27,29-31,33				
	lnv	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:		1-33	at to			
	ind	ustrial applicability (IA)		Claims Claims	1-30				
2.	Cit	ations and explanations							

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPAR



International application No. PCT/GB 03/03751

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 31-33 relate to a subject-matter considered by this authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Art. 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

- D1: US-B-6 423 2982 (D.P.MCNAMARA, G.A.DESTEFANO) 23 July 2002 (2002-07-23)
- D2: M.MIRAVITLLES E.A.: "Treatment and quality of life in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease" QUALITY OF LIFE RESEARCH, vol. 11, no. 4, 2002, pages 329-338, XP008018999
- D3: WO 02/07672 A (AEROPHARM TECHNOLOGY) 31 January 2002 (2002-01-31)
- D4: R.K.GUPTA, S.K.CHHABRA: "An evaluation of salmeterol in the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases" THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF CHEST DISEASES & ALLIED SCIENCES, vol. 44, no. 3, 2002, pages 165-172, XP008018997
- a) D1 discloses pharmaceutical preparation for propellant driven metered dose inhalers comprising at least two active substances e.g. beclometasone, budesonide, cromoglycinic acid, fenoterol, flunisolide, fluticasone, ipratropium bromide, nedocromil, orciprenaline, oxitropium bromide, reproterol, salbutamol (albuterol), salmeterol, terbutalin. One particularly preferred embodiment comprises suspended salbutamol sulphate, dissolved ipratropium bromide, ethanol as co-solvent and citric acid as stabiliser.
- b) In document D2, patients with COPD were treated with a short-acting β2 agonist, ipratropium bromide and an inhaled corticosteroid (budesonide, fluticasone or beclomethasone (cf. p. 332, col. 2, table II).
- c) D3 discloses a medicinal aerosol formulation, which comprises at least two different particulate medicaments selected from the group consisting of β2 adrenergic agonists, corticosteroids, anticholinergics, histamine antagonists, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory agents and leucotriene modulators.
- d) In D4, patients inhaled four-times-daily ipratropium and twice-daily beclomethasone



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY Interest EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

International application No. PCT/GB 03/03751

dipropionate, together with salmeterol (twice daily) or placebo. Inhaled salbutamol was given on an as-needed basis (cf. abstract and p. 166, col. 2).

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 3, 8, 27, 29-31, 33 is not new over D4 in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Claims 2, 4-7, 9-26, 28, 32 do not seem to contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, see documents D2 and D4 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report (Article 33(3) PCT).

It is pointed out that no evidence for the claimed effect has been provided by the applicant. The application does not provide any results of tests carried out with the products in the field of activity at issue.

For the assessment of the present claims 31-33 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.